SUMMARY NOTES

CWG Members/Designated Alternates Present:

Dennis Antenore, UCSF Community Advisory Group (CAG)
Charles Canepa, UCSF CAG/Cole Valley Improvement Association
Rupert Clayton, Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council
Michael Costanzo, California Academy of Sciences
Craig Dawson, UCSF CAG/Inner Sunset Merchants Association
Barbara French, UCSF Strategic Communications & University Relations
Kevin Hart, UCSF CAG
Melissa Higbee, Office of Resilience and Capital Planning
Neil Hrushowy, San Francisco Planning Department
Andrea Jadwin, Inner Sunset
Daniel Sheeter (on behalf of Sarah Jones), SF Municipal Transportation Agency
Amy Kaeser, Sutro Stewards
Erika Kajdasz, Cole Valley
Susan Maerki, UCSF CAG
Dennis Minnick, Sunset Heights Association of Responsible People
Jamie Seidel, Inner Sunset
Brian Stokle, San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department
Tes Welborn, UCSF CAG/Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council
Lori Yamauchi, UCSF Real Estate/Campus Planning

Notable Attendees Present:

Jarlene Choy (on behalf of Supervisor Norman Yee)
Shakirah Simley (on behalf of Supervisor Vallie Brown)

CWG Members/Designated Alternates Absent:

Martha Ehrenfeld, UCSF CAG/Inner Sunset Park Neighbors
Beatrice Laws, UCSF CAG
Introduction / Context
Barbara French, Vice Chancellor for Strategic Communications & University Relations, opened the meeting by welcoming working group members and those in the audience to the initial meeting for this working group process. She provided an overview of the University’s need for the re-envisioning process for the Parnassus campus, as well as background on UCSF’s planning processes to date and the role of this working group. Following her remarks, she introduced Daniel Iacofano of MIG, facilitator for the community process. Daniel described the working group’s purpose and organizing framework, highlighting the schedule over the next nine months.

Dr. Dan Lowenstein, UCSF’s Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost, talked about the future of the University’s research and discovery program at the Parnassus Heights campus and the need for more integrated research space. This was followed by Daniel Iacofano reporting on key findings from a recent neighborhood survey conducted by UCSF to determine local aspirations and concerns related to the planning process.

There was a question from the audience regarding faculty participation on the working group, and the University noted that faculty are being engaged throughout the planning process, but the intent of the working group is to focus on the community context. A second audience member asked whether the community survey is still open. The survey was closed at the end of August, but the University is interested in community opinions and ideas throughout the process; there will be many opportunities for the public to engage with us during the process, including a community open house event on November 26.

I. Working Group Discussion: Desired Outcomes and Future Possibilities
Working group members had an opportunity to describe their vision for the process, as well as for the future of the campus and its environs. Their comments were recorded on a wallgraphic by Lou Hexter of MIG. Below are the ideas generated in this discussion, organized by topic or theme:

Programmatic and Spatial Links with the Neighborhood
- Educate neighbors about UCSF
- Engage the neighborhood not just with respect to the end goal, but also with the process of getting there and how will it impact the neighbors
- Consider providing office space for NGOs
- Provide integrated, inter-generational gathering spaces
- Connect with schools
- Offer a role in community recovery in the event of a disaster
- Incorporate community gathering spaces
- Provide affordable childcare to help families stay in San Francisco
Community Health Focus
- Support community health – e.g., promote diet and stress-reduction programs. Collaborate on public health, thinking about a bigger system of healthcare that includes income gaps and opportunities
- Promote healthy child development
- Consider establishing satellite health clinics
- Educate broader community on how research translates to medical care

Mobility
- Ensure a smooth, multi-modal transportation network
- Enhance connectivity
- Continue pursuing TDM measures
- Consider a pedestrian flyover at Parnassus Avenue
- Implement traffic calming in accordance with Vision Zero
- Develop parking solutions
- Consider curb usage for deliveries and drop-offs
- Improve planning for how people access the campus

Housing
- Provide housing for students, nurses, staff and service providers
- Be a leader on housing. Housing crisis is citywide, would like to see UCSF lead on how to address this
- Think creatively on housing, as it’s exempt from the space constraints
- Provide housing for all income levels

Facilities
- Ensure there is adequate and appropriate space to support the University’s mission
- Continue providing state-of-the-art patient care
- Build a new hospital
- Consider the space as both a social connection, but also how it would operate in the event of an earthquake or other crisis

Open Space
- Create a great campus of open spaces
- Provide links to parks and other open space in the neighborhood
- Create set-backs similar to other campuses
- Integrate UCSF with the park to create a neighborhood center or central park
- Address the physical and psychological barrier by creating open spaces for people to take breaks. Address the Parnassus and Irving Street walls

Safety
- Integrate safety into campus design and community programming
Quality Development

- Ensure great design: take advantage of the unique geography
- Capitalize on opportunities to de-carbonize
- Incorporate previously established Goals and Objectives and Planning Principles
- Identify areas of alignment and conflict with the Long Range Development Plan
- Incorporate iconic design

II. Public Comment
Public comments included:

- Take into account the neighborhood context. Balance desire for openness with appropriate physical boundaries with the neighborhood.
- Implement the Parnassus Avenue Streetscape Plan: work with City on implementation.
- Provide a bench and shelter at the library shuttle stop.
- Be open to high-rise housing.
- Consider placing a visitor information desk at the street.

III. Next Steps
Daniel Iacofano thanked working group and audience members for their constructive input at the session and reminded them of the next CWG meeting coming up on Wednesday, October 24, from 6:30 to 8:30 pm, in Millberry Union.
UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Re-envisioning Process
Community Working Group Meeting #1

Agenda

Monday, October 1, 2018
6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.
Millberry Union

1. Welcome, Introductions, and Agenda Overview
   Barbara French
   Vice Chancellor, University Relations
   10 min

2. Campus Re-Envisioning Process Overview
   Lori/Barb
   Perkins Eastman
   10 min

3. Community Working Group Purpose and Organizing Framework
   Daniel Iacofano, MIG
   10 min

4. Defining the Future of Research and Discovery at UCSF Parnassus
   Dan Lowenstein
   Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost
   15 min

5. Community Survey Results
   Daniel Iacofano, MIG
   20 min

6. Discussion: Working Group Members Desired Outcomes and Future Possibilities
   Daniel Iacofano, MIG
   40 min

7. Public Comment
   10 min

8. Next Steps
   - Next Meeting: Oct 24
   - Walking Tour(s): TBD
   5 min

UCSF’s Commitment to the Community
- Will listen
- Will be honest and forthcoming
- Will be prepared with as much information as is available
- Will continue to commit to being a good neighbor

community.ucsf.edu