SUMMARY NOTES

Advisory Committee Members/Designated Alternates Present:
Andrea Jadwin, Inner Sunset Resident
Benji Jasik, Inner Sunset Resident
Bob Walsh, Inner Sunset Resident
Caleb Kywenko, Inner Sunset Resident
Calvin Welch, Haight-Ashbury Neighborhood Council
Charles Canepa, UCSF CAG, Cole Valley Improvement Association
Dan Sider, Inner Sunset Resident
Dennis Antenore, Inner Sunset Resident, UCSF CAG
Donald Luu, Forest Hill Resident, Chinese Chamber of Commerce
Sarah Jones, Cole Valley Resident, SFMTA
Erica Kajdasz, Cole Valley Merchant, Cole Valley Fair
Susan Maerki, Inner Sunset Resident , UCSF CAG,
Susannah Wise, Cole Valley Resident, Inner Sunset Merchants Association
Tes Welborn, UCSF CAG, Haight-Ashbury Neighborhood Council
Walter Caplan, Forest Knolls Resident, Forest Knolls Neighborhood Organization
Kevin Hart, Inner Sunset Resident, UCSF CAG

Advisory Committee Members/Designated Alternates Absent:
Beatrice Laws, Cole Valley Resident, Kezar Stadium Citizen Advisory
Debbie Lee, Forest Knolls Resident
Kelly Akemi-Groth, Inner Sunset Resident, SF Women’s Political Committee
Martha Ehrenfeld, UCSF CAG, Inner Sunset Park Neighbors
Robert Ogilvie, Inner Sunset Resident, SPUR

Subject Matter Experts Present:
Francesca Vega, Vice Chancellor, Community and Government Relations
Christine Gasparac, Senior Director, Community Relations
Barbara French, Strategic Advisor, Office of Senior Vice Chancellor
Brian Newman, Sr. Assoc. Vice Chancellor, Real Estate & Vice President, UCSF Health
Kevin Beauchamp, Director, Physical Planning, Real Estate
Diane Wong, Principal Planner/Environmental Coordinator, Real Estate
Tammy Chan, Senior Planner, Real Estate
Stuart Eckblad, Vice President, Major Capital Projects, UCSF Health
I. CPHP Overview

Barbara French, former Vice Chancellor of University Relations, explained the CPHP process and vision (reference slides 1-26).

An Advisory Committee Member asked Barbara French if UCSF had information on the number of current staff members and the number of projected staff members that will come with growth. Barbara responded that information on population projections hasn’t been completed yet, but is in process.

Another Advisory Committee Member expressed concern that the space ceiling hasn’t been clearly communicated to the neighborhood and encouraged UCSF to be more diligent in sharing its plans for growth with the community.

A third Advisory Committee Member expressed concern about the growth at Parnassus and encouraged the university, and the community, to consider how we can accommodate the growth and viability at Parnassus while holding the university accountable as a good neighbor.

Vice Chancellor Francesca Vega Welcomed Advisory Committee and Guests (reference slide 27)

Andrea Baker Reviewed Operating Principles, Advisory Committee Purpose, and Organizing Framework (reference slides 28-35)

An Advisory Committee Member asked if the steering committee (made up of faculty and staff) had operating and organizing principles and requested a copy of them. The same Advisory Committee Member asked what working group was responsible for proposing the 1.5M gsf increase and if they have principles of openness as well. The university confirmed that the steering committee and planning process were conducted under similar operating principles of openness and transparency.

An Advisory Committee Member expressed concerns about the following purpose and goal of the advisory committee, “Advise UCSF staff on neighborhood issues and opportunities related to the implementation of the plan.” The member expressed confusion about the advisory committee’s role and if the purpose is to provide input for
the creation of the plan or if the plan has already been created and the committee’s role is “to help smooth out some of the rough edges.”

Barbara French responded that there is a plan that envisions what the Parnassus campus can be, but it’s a roadmap for future development. The next step is to look at the environmental and community impact, as well as potential mitigations. Ultimately, the plan is contingent on the Board of Regents approval through the Environmental Impact Report and community input.

An Advisory Committee Member asked for a clearer understanding of where the 1.5M gsf will be added across the campus. Barbara responded by saying that the 1.5M gsf increase includes both the near and long-term construction projects across the campus.

An Advisory Committee Member commented that the space ceiling limits do not include housing and that this was done intentionally to see housing as a solution to problems, not a creation of problems.

Kimberly Bari, a patient at UCSF, shared her story (https://www.facebook.com/CBSSanFrancisco/videos/10155349748799436/).

UCSF doctors implanted 300 electrodes into Kimberly’s skull to help stop her seizures.

“I am very grateful to be alive and to have received care from here.” Kimberly first came to UCSF for treatment in 2014 because she had an allergic reaction to an anti-seizure medication she was taking. Her first impression of the campus, after receiving care for her condition from hospitals around the country, was that it was really old. She also shared that she has learned so much from the doctors and researchers at UCSF. Researchers would come in three times a day to check on her and became like family to her. She thanked them for saving her life. The results of UCSF’s findings in caring for Kimberly have been shared with the global health community and have been used to help other people suffering from similar conditions.

Elizabeth Polek discussed the process of planning, designing and building the new hospital at Parnassus Heights (reference slides 39-52)

II. Advisory Committee Discussion

An Advisory Committee Member asked if the bigger hospital will replace both Moffitt and Long and what will happen to Long. Elizabeth responded that Long is seismically sound and does not need to be replaced.

An Advisory Committee Member asked for the number of beds on campus and what is the staffed bed capacity? Elizabeth responded that there are 475 beds on the
Parnassus Heights campus currently and that they are operating at full capacity all the time. She said she would check on UCSF’s official staffed bed capacity. She also noted that the 15th floor of Moffitt is being renovated because there are not enough beds for patient care.

An Advisory Committee Member commented on the seismic requirements and noted that there is no mandatory size required for hospitals to meet the seismic requirement. The Advisory Committee Member asked if UCSF could meet the seismic requirement by building a smaller hospital. Elizabeth responded affirmatively that seismic requirements are unrelated to hospital size.

An Advisory Committee Member asked what the split is between hospitals that are choosing to retrofit versus those building a new hospital. A UCSF staff member responded that it’s an important question every hospital encounters, and an important factor in making that decision is the age of the building. She explained that when buildings are 50-60 years old, it’s very difficult to retrofit them as it becomes an issue of quality and cost.

An Advisory Committee Member asked what UCSF’s preliminary thinking is about how to use Moffitt and what needs have been identified that can be accommodated there without building all new facilities? Elizabeth shared some ideas that have been relayed to her and notes they fall in no particular order, including: a strong desire for there to be research space that is adjacent to clinical care; a need for academic offices; a request for simulation labs; and the immediate need for patient and family hotel space.

An Advisory Committee Member asked about the need for the number of beds in the new hospital. Elizabeth responded that the number of beds has not yet been determined, but right now, the hospital is clearly not meeting its demand because there are people waiting for beds every day.

An Advisory Committee Member asked if the new plan includes fewer shuttles between the campuses. Elizabeth responded that UCSF is thinking about all forms of transportation and how to reduce the impact on the community.

An Advisory Committee Member asked UCSF to share information and profiles on the patients served at its hospital.

An Advisory Committee Member asked where will LPPI move? The outpatient clinics are moving to Mission Bay, and the new location for the inpatient program is still under discussion.

III. Public Comment

A member of the public commented: I live on 6th Ave. I’m a lifelong resident. Since we’re all here being honest, as long as I can remember, this place has been a dump, if
you could tear down everything now and redesign it, that would be great...I was really happy to hear we’re going to get a new hospital. I’ve avoided driving on Parnassus because of the traffic and have been to the emergency room and it’s confusing.

The other thing I’ve experienced as someone who has gone to a lot of community meetings is that there is a certain segment of people who moved here in 1971 and are really upset it’s not the same city, and they get really upset if anything changes. I would ask the advisory committee to not be those people and if you are on the committee, please help us get a better hospital.

IV. Next Steps

The next meeting is Tuesday, September 24 in the City Lights Room of Millberry Union from 6:00-8:30 p.m. Please join us and bring someone with you!