Community Advisory Group Meeting

March 1, 2017
Agenda

1. Welcome, Introductions, and Agenda Overview
2. Public Comment *(items not on the agenda)*
3. CAG Questions and Concerns *(items not on the agenda)*
4. Update on UCSF Center for Vulnerable Populations
5. Update on Dogpatch Community Task Force: UCSF’s Cushioning Proposal
6. Update on Mount Sutro Vegetation Management Plan EIR Scoping Meeting and Long-Term Funding Options
7. Campus Updates
   • Mission Bay
   • Parnassus
   • ZSFG
   • Workforce Development
8. Public Comment *(items on agenda – 3 minutes per speaker)*
Public Comment

- Regarding items not on the agenda
- Three minutes per speaker
- If item runs over 15 minutes, to be continued at the end of the agenda
CAG Questions and Concerns

- Regarding items not on the agenda
- If item runs over 5 minutes, to be continued at the end of the agenda
We engage in a broad scope of research projects that enable creative and innovative solutions to persistent health disparities and challenging problems in our community.
Goals we share with the UCSF and San Francisco community:

- We value health
- We embrace equity and diversity
- We promote innovation
An iterative process ensures we continuously learn and refine ideas with our partners.
We focus on a range of research areas to uncover more effective solutions.

- Community
- Health & Social Policy
- Patient-Centered Care
ELEMENTS OF OUR APPROACH

Nurturing Partnerships
We partner with change agents for scientific, policy, clinical care, and community health promotion initiatives

Multi-Level Perspective
Our scientific inquiry reflects multiple influences on health throughout the life-span

Research Innovations
We develop rigorous methods to improve the relevance of science to populations and policies

Driving Awareness
We promote system changes that make health information more accessible to all using cutting-edge communication tools and technologies
We bring a rigorous approach to three key focus areas that address a broad range of issues affecting vulnerable populations.
CASE STUDY

The HOPE HOME study examines why older adults become homeless, what happens to their health, and what the best options are to improve housing stability and health.

In partnership with St Mary’s Center and an active community board, CVP is studying the causes and consequences of the growing population of people over 50 who experience homelessness.
CASE STUDY

The Bigger Picture aims to end Type 2 diabetes in young people.

The campaign, a collaboration between CVP and Youth Speaks, pairs world-class youth poets and leading health professionals to change the diabetes conversation and instigate social action.
CASE STUDY

CVP’s pioneering work on the health effects of sugar-sweetened beverages has extended from research to policy.

In San Francisco, Berkeley, and Mexico, CVP faculty have studied the effectiveness of soda tax policies in preventing Type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and obesity.
Since our founding in 2006, CVP has grown tremendously—moving from a virtual center with just three faculty members to a brick-and-mortar center carrying out innovative research with broad impact locally, nationally, and internationally.
HOW MANY PAPERS HAVE WE PUBLISHED?

Over 600
Core faculty publications since joining CVP
Cost-effectiveness of PCSK9 Inhibitor Therapy in Patients With Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia or Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease

Dhruv S. Kazi, MD, MSc, MS; Andrew E. Moran, MD, MPH; Pamela G. Coxson, PhD; Joanne Penko, MS, MPH; Daniel A. Ollendorf, PhD; Steven D. Pearson, MD, MSc; Jeffrey A. Tice, MD; David Guzman, MSPH; Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo, PhD, MD, MAS

Dealing with Racist Patients

Kimani Paul-Emile, J.D., Ph.D., Alexander K. Smith, M.D., M.P.H., Bernard Lo, M.D., and Alicia Fernández, M.D.

Exhaustion Of Food Budgets At Month’s End And Hospital Admissions For Hypoglycemia

By Hilary K. Seligman, Ann F. Bolger, David Guzman, Andrea López, and Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo

Does Lower Diabetes-Related Numeracy Lead to Increased Risk for Hypoglycemic Events?

Urmimala Sarkar, MD, MPH; Dean Schillinger, MD

Dietary Salt, Kidney Disease, and Cardiovascular Health

Neil R. Powe, MD, MPH, MBA; Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo, PhD, MD, MAS

Permanent Supportive Housing for Homeless People — Reframing the Debate

Stefan G. Kertesz, M.D., Travis P. Baggett, M.D., M.P.H., James J. O’Connell, M.D., David S. Buck, M.D., M.P.H., and Margot B. Kushel, M.D.

Connecting the Dots: Health Information Technology Expansion and Health Disparities

Courtney Lyles1,2,*, Dean Schillinger1,2, Urmimala Sarkar1,2

A Penny-Per-Ounce Tax On Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Would Cut Health And Cost Burdens Of Diabetes

By Y. Claire Wang, Pamela Coxson, Yu-Ming Shen, Lee Goldman, and Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo
Hepatitis C Screening Rate Among Underserved Adults With Serious Mental Illness Receiving Care in California Community Mental Health Centers

Evan Trager, MD, Mandana Khalili, MD, Carmen L. Masson, PhD, Eric Vittinghoff, PhD, Jennifer Creazman, MSPH, and Christina Mangourian, MD, MAS

Science and Public Health on Trial
Warning Notices on Advertisements for Sugary Drinks

Dean Schillinger, MD
Division of General Internal Medicine,
University of California San Francisco; and Health Communications Research Program, UCSF Center for Vulnerable Populations, Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, San Francisco, California.

Michael F. Jacobson, PhD
Center for Science in the Public Interest, Washington, DC

Racial Differences in Incident Heart Failure among Young Adults

Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo, Ph.D., M.D., Mark J. Pletcher, M.D., M.P.H., Feng Lin, M.S., Eric Vittinghoff, Ph.D., Julius M. Gardin, M.D., Alexander Aryan, M.D., Cora L. Lewis, M.D., O. Dale Williams, Ph.D., and Stephen B. Hulley, M.D., M.P.H.

Original Research

Support From Hospital to Home for Elders

L. Elizabeth Goldman, MD, MCR; Urmimala Sarkar, MD, MPH; Eric Kessell, PhD; David Guzman, MS; Michelle Schneidermann, MD; Edgar Pierluissi, MD; Barbara Walter, RN, MSN; Eric Vittinghoff, PhD, MPH; Jeff Critchfield, MD; and Margot Kushel, MD
HOW MUCH FUNDING HAVE WE RAISED?

~115 Grants

Grant and philanthropic funding to core faculty since joining CVP

$100 Million
CASE STUDY

CVP has created a network to share and collaborate on innovative practices among 21 public hospitals across California.

Through research in the outpatient clinics at ZSFG, CVP’s Ambulatory Safety Center for Innovation (ASCENT) is designing, testing, and implementing technology and organizational solutions to make medical care safer.
CASE STUDY

EatSF is a healthy food program, providing low-income San Franciscans vouchers for free fruits and vegetables.

EatSF was formed as a result of strong partnerships between CVP, the City and County of San Francisco, and community based organizations such as the Curry Senior Center.
CASE STUDY

SF BUILD is a partnership between San Francisco State University and CVP to enhance the diversity of the biomedical research workforce.

Through activities at the institutional, faculty, and student levels, SF BUILD enables students from underrepresented groups in science to draw from their unique personal experiences to successfully pursue a career in biomedical research.
WHERE WE ARE

CVP is based at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, known as the heart of the city.

Worried since the election? Here are the facts.

You’re Safe Here!

Your San Francisco Department of Public Health providers including clinics, health centers, and hospitals are committed to our tradition of creating an inclusive, safe, and welcoming space for everyone who walks through our doors.

San Francisco Department of Public Health

Nov 17, 2016
LOOKING AHEAD

Looking to the future, our priority is to deepen and expand our partnerships to achieve measurable health outcomes in San Francisco and beyond.
Thank You!

March 1, 2017
CVP Celebrates 10 Years!

A short video was shared at the CAG meeting. To view the video, please follow this link:

https://youtu.be/1uomfJryEFM
Dogpatch Community Task Force Update
Cushioning Process

- Process is outlined in UCSF’s 2014 Long Range Development Plan
  
  * Per the Community Planning Principles, when UCSF acquires or intensifies use of property, it will:
    
    - Enter discussions on a case-by-case basis with community groups and/or the City to identify neighborhood impacts of proposed development
    - Enter into further discussions to identify potential cushioning actions to offset such impacts
    - Monetary and non-monetary contributions must be consistent with UCSF’s mission and directly benefit UCSF, its students and its employees
  
  * Task Force was convened through a subcommittee of the CAG
Cushioning Process

- The Task Force aims to engage residents and community organizations that are:
  - Vested in planning for the future of Dogpatch and the dramatic residential growth and development currently in the pipeline
  - Committed to at least 80% participation in all task force meetings
  - Willing to collaborate

- All meetings are advertised and are open to the public.
**Dogpatch Community Task Force Members**

- Robin Abad, SF City Planning Department
- Kevin Beauchamp, UCSF Campus Planning
- Janet Carpinelli, CAG/Dogpatch
- Yoyo Chan, SF Supervisor Malia Cohen’s Office
- Julie Christensen, Dogpatch/NW Potrero Green Benefit District
- Michele Davis, UCSF Community Relations
- Katherine Doumani, Dogpatch
- Heidi Dunkelgod, Dogpatch
- Mark Dwight, Dogpatch
- JR Eppler, CAG/Potrero Hill
- Susan Eslick, CAG/Dogpatch
- Susan Fitch, Dogpatch
- Barbara French, UCSF University Relations
- Christine Gasparac, UCSF Community Relations
- Jonathan Goldberg, DPW
- Keith Goldstein, Potrero Hill
- Kevin Hart, CAG/Inner Sunset
- Bruce Huie, CAG/Dogpatch
- Tony Kelly, Potrero Hill
- Kieran Lal, Dogpatch
- Irma Lewis, Dogpatch
- Audra Angeli-Morse, Dogpatch
- Sandra Padilla, SFMTA
- Adam Van de Water, SF Office of Economic and Workforce Development
- Mikael Wagner, CAG/Mission Bay
- Lori Yamauchi, UCSF Campus Planning
Dogpatch Community Task Force Meetings

- September 29, 2016: Discussed process framework and desired outcomes
- October 13, 2016: Toured the neighborhood to observe and discuss existing conditions
- October 24, 2016: Reviewed existing conditions and discuss neighbors’ desired actions
- November 28, 2016: Discussed BLA report and UCSF’s response to neighbors’ requests
- January 19, 2017: Task force members presented desired neighborhood improvements
- February 22, 2017: UCSF proposed cushioning actions
- April 24, 2017: UCSF and task force members will finalize an agreement on proposed cushioning actions
# Dogpatch - Estimated Project Timelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2130 Third St.</th>
<th>Minnesota St. Graduate Student &amp; Trainee Housing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CEQA Environmental Review process</strong></td>
<td>May ‘16 – March ‘17</td>
<td>April ‘16 – Feb ‘17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Initial Study Scoping Meeting</td>
<td>June 9, 2016</td>
<td>August 17, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DEIR Public Hearing</td>
<td>Dec 6, 2016</td>
<td>Feb 6, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EIR Certification</td>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Community Meetings</strong></td>
<td>Nov ‘15 – Apr ‘17</td>
<td>Nov ‘15 – Apr ‘17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design Process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community Engagement</td>
<td>July ‘16 – Apr ‘17</td>
<td>Aug ‘16 – Apr ‘17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Start Construction</td>
<td>Spring 2018</td>
<td>Summer 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complete Construction</strong></td>
<td>Spring 2020</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupancy</strong></td>
<td>Summer 2020</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cushioning

Through the cushioning process, UCSF will present options to lessen—or "cushion"—the projected impacts of its development.
Cushioning Filters

Criteria for Cushioning

1. Must benefit neighbors and UCSF
2. Address projected impacts of UCSF's development
3. Visible and meaningful, rather than small and unmemorable
4. Beyond the scope of individual projects/sites

Note: UCSF dollars are direct and more immediate, unlike other sources.
Dogpatch Community Task Force
Cushioning Projects
Cushioning Proposal

1. Enhance Urban Realm Above Base Level: Minnesota Street Frontage of Minnesota Housing and Tennessee Street Frontage of the Child, Teen & Family Center

UCSF ACTION:

- Widen sidewalk and install specialty sidewalk paving
- Install artistic seating

Concept Illustration
Cushioning Proposal

2. Implement Pedestrian Safety Improvements at Key Intersections

UCSF ACTION:

A. Minnesota/18th Street
   - Implement traffic signal
   - Curb extensions
   - Improve crosswalks

B. Tennessee/18th Street
   - Install crosswalks
   - Investigate curb extension opportunities

C. Minnesota/19th Street
   - Investigate possibility of 4-way stop

D. Tennessee/19th Street
   - Investigate possibility of 4-way stop
2A. Minnesota and 18th Pedestrian Safety Improvements

- As an interim measure, UCPD installed a digital sign to slow traffic coming down the overpass on 18th Street toward Minnesota
- UCSF proposes to improve pedestrian and bicycle safety by funding a traffic light at 18th & Minnesota streets
2B. Pedestrian Safety Improvements

Tennessee and 18th streets:
- Explore 4-way stop signs
- Install crosswalks
2C. Pedestrian Safety Improvements

Explore 4-way stop signs at Minnesota and 19th Streets
2D. Pedestrian Safety Improvements

Explore 4-way stop signs at Tennessee and 19th streets
3. Improve Bicycle Route Connection to Mariposa/Minnesota Street Intersection

**UCSF ACTION:**

- Fund transportation analysis to assess the safest way to direct bicyclists from Indiana Street to the signalized intersection at Minnesota/Mariposa streets, while minimizing street parking loss.
- Implement bicycle markings.
- Advocate for amendment to the City’s Bicycle Plan to formalize new bicycle connection.
4. Sponsor Bike Share Station along bike route

**UCSF ACTION:**

- Sponsor bike share station at Minnesota Street near 18th Street
Cushioning Proposal

5. Improve the Area Underneath the 18th Street Overpass

**UCSF ACTION:**

- Advocate for relocation of City storage area
- Fund design fees to convert right of way into useable open space
- Implement attractive urban design elements to activate the space
Improve Area Under 18th Street Overpass

Conceptual Illustration
Improve Area Under 18th Street Overpass

Conceptual Illustration
6. Contribute to Enhancement of Existing Dogpatch Open Space

**UCSF ACTION:**
- Contribute funds to support tangible improvements
Dogpatch Community Task Force
Monitoring and Accountability
Accountability

Establish a Good Neighbor Program to reduce impacts from Medical Center employees. Manage construction impacts of UCSF projects in Dogpatch

UCSF ACTION:

- Remove waste (such as purple gloves, bonnets and cigarette butts) from areas near the hospital
- Initiate security guard rounds in Dogpatch to remove/cite smokers coming from the UCSF campus and/or Medical Center
- Manage and monitor construction impacts and staging
  - Designate community liaison to address issues
- Support Residential Parking Permit restrictions and ensure that student residents will not be eligible
Accountability

Sign an Enforceable Agreement to Memorialize Terms for Cushioning

UCSF ACTION:

- Execute an enforceable agreement on cushioning actions, such as a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or other agreement
Proposed Cushioning Actions

1. Enhance Urban Realm Above Base Level Streetscape Improvements
2. Implement Pedestrian Safety Improvements at Key Intersections
3. Improve Bicycle Route Connection to Mariposa/Minnesota Street Intersection
4. Sponsor a Bike Share station
5. Improve Area Under 18th Street Overpass
6. Contribute to Enhancement of Existing Dogpatch Open Space
Mount Sutro Open Space Reserve
Goals for the Management of the Reserve

The University has four goals for the management of the Reserve and the draft plan:

1. Protect the safety of Reserve users; UCSF students, faculty, staff and patients; neighbors and adjoining campus and residential properties

2. Improve and enhance the health and stability of the ecosystem

3. Enhance the visual design and aesthetic experience

4. Maintain and ensure public access to the Reserve
Estimated Project Timeline

- Plan Development Process
  - Draft plan published  August 2016

- CEQA Environmental Review Process
  - Scoping meeting  Feb. 23, 2017
  - Initial Study public comments due  Mar. 8, 2017
  - Draft EIR public comment period and hearing  Summer 2017
  - EIR certification  Fall 2017

- Anticipated Start of Plan Implementation  Fall/Winter 2017
UCSF Policies in the Reserve

- **Safety** of people and structures is the top priority.
- **Herbicides are not used** in the Reserve.
- **Unnecessary tree work** in the Reserve is avoided during bird-nesting season (March to August). A survey for raptor nesting will be done before work is performed in January and February.
- **Commitment to transparency and community planning principles** is UCSF’s promise to the community.
- **Public access** to trail network is maintained and encouraged. UCSF works with the non-profit Sutro Stewards to build and maintain the trail system using volunteers.
- The **beauty of the Reserve will be preserved** and its novel ecosystem maintained as a public resource.
Management Plan Recommendations

Legend
- Mount Sutro Reserve
- Vegetation Type

Type
- Summit
- Type 1
- Type 2
- Type 3
- Type 4

Created by Matt Greens Forrestry & Biological Consulting April 25, 2016
# Management Plan Recommendations

## Forest Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest Type</th>
<th>Size (acres)</th>
<th>Trees per acre</th>
<th>DBH Range (in.)</th>
<th>Dominant Tree Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Live Standing dead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>270 100</td>
<td>2 to &gt;36</td>
<td>Blue gum, blackwood acacia Monterey cypress, Monterey pine, coast redwood, plum, cherry, Calif. bay, coast live oak, willow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45 10</td>
<td>14 to 20</td>
<td>Blue gum, Monterey cypress Monterey pine, blackwood acacia, coast redwood, willow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>110 10</td>
<td>2 to &gt;40</td>
<td>Blue gum, blackwood acacia Willow, plum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>128 50</td>
<td>2 to 34</td>
<td>Blue gum Monterey cypress, cherry coast live oak, willow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated live trees in the Reserve: 10,500**
Management Plan Recommendations

Desired future conditions

- Restored eucalyptus canopy
- Healthy, diverse vegetation
- Uneven-aged stands of trees
- 2-3 snags (standing dead trees) per acre
- Control of invasive understory vegetation
- Additional native plant restoration areas
Management Plan Recommendations

Phase 1 (initial 5 years)

- **Remove** hazardous trees
- **Treat** four acres in Forest Type 1. Plant blue gum and other eucalyptus species.
- **Enhance** restoration and stewardship of native plants
- **Maintain** defensible space
- **Maintain** public access
Management Plan Recommendations

Phase 2 (years 6-10)

- **Remove dead and dying trees** in Forest Types 1, 3 and 4 to desired stand densities.

- **Treat** 10 acres of Forest Type 1. Plant a mix of eucalyptus and native species.

- **Treat** two acres of Forest Types 2 and 3, and 4 acres of Forest Type 4. Plant a mix of eucalyptus and native species.
Management Plan Recommendations

Phase 3 (years 11-20)

- **Monitor and assess** success of treatments and adjust accordingly.

- **Remove dead and dying trees** in any remaining untreated acres in Forest Types 1, 3, and 4.

- **Plant** a mix of eucalyptus and native species in any remaining acres of Forest Types 2, 3, and 4.
California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

- CEQA applies to any discretionary project proposed to be carried out or approved by a public agency.

- UC is proposing to adopt and implement the Vegetation Management Plan for Mount Sutro Reserve.

- The approval of the plan is a discretionary action.

- UC is required to evaluate the potential environmental impacts from this proposed project.
  - Identify viable mitigation to reduce or eliminate significant effects.
  - Identify and consider alternatives that may reduce or avoid effects.
Environmental Review Process

- Initial review of project by UCSF staff: Determination of whether EIR is required.
- EIR scoping process, including comment by community and public agencies.
- Prepare draft EIR.
- Public hearing on draft EIR.
- Draft EIR public review period.
- Prepare final EIR.
- Review of final EIR by the Board of Regents or delegated entity.
Determinants of Resource Topics to be Analyzed in the EIR

- Warranted by environmental setting
- Further study needed to determine if project may have a significant environmental impact
- Level of interest by the community
Resource Topics Fully Analyzed in the Initial Study

(no further analysis in the EIR)

- Agriculture
- Land Use and Planning
- Minerals
- Population and Housing
Resource Topics to be Further Analyzed in the EIR

- Aesthetics
- Forestry
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources
- Geology and Soils
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Noise
- Public Services
- Recreation
- Transportation and Traffic
- Utilities and Services Systems
Technical Studies Conducted in Support of the EIR

- Visual Simulations
- Air Quality Emissions Calculations
- Carbon Sequestration Calculations
- Biological Reconnaissance Surveys
- Cultural Landscape Evaluation
- Fire Modeling
- Surface Water Flow and Volume Modeling
- Noise Study
- Traffic Counts
Other CEQA Requirements

- Evaluation of Alternatives
- Other CEQA-Mandated Requirements
  - Cumulative Effects
  - Other topics
Next Steps in CEQA Process

- The Initial Study is available online at http://campusplanning.ucsf.edu/
- For a paper copy of the Initial Study, call 415.476.2911
- To give written feedback on the Initial Study, please email EIR@planning.ucsf.edu or submit a written comment to:
  
  Diane Wong  
  UCSF Campus Planning  
  Box 0286, San Francisco, CA 94143

  Please submit written feedback by March 8, 2017

- Draft EIR is expected to be published summer 2017
CGR/Facilities has developed a projected budget for the first phase of the plan implementation. Budget years 1-3 are fully funded:

Budget Projections:

Years 1 -3
- FY 17-18: $645,000
- FY 18-19: $596,000
- FY 19-20: $617,000

Year 4-5
- FY 20-21: ~ $630,000
- FY 21-22: ~ $622,000

Years 6-10 and beyond will be considered once the EIR is certified and the plan has been approved.
Campus Updates: Mission Bay
Block 33: Administrative Offices and Ophthalmology Department/Clinics

- Public Process:
  - Community Meeting on November 7, 2016
  - Presentation at Mission Bay CAC in November
  - OCII Commission approval in December 2016
- January 26 Regents approval includes:
  - Project budget
  - Project scope and design
  - Conformance with CEQA
  - LRDP Amendment

Construction to begin June 2017
Precision Cancer Medicine Building

- Five buildings: outpatient, women’s and children’s hospitals, energy center, and this proposed cancer outpatient building, comprise the UCSF Medical Center at Mission Bay

- Four buildings: outpatient, women’s, energy center, and children's hospitals were constructed and opened in February 2015

- The cancer outpatient building is now being proposed for construction and referred to as the Precision Cancer Medicine Building

Construction to begin April 2017
Physician Services (120 Exams)
Infusion (45 stations)
Radiology (19 Modalities)
Radiation Oncology (2 LINAC Vaults)
Lab, Blood Draw & Pharmacy
Resource Ctr & Support Services
Proposed Building Design
Proposed Building Design
Landscape Design Concept
Block 23A: The Weill Institute for Neurosciences

- Enhance UCSF’s basic neurosciences, uniting Neurology, Psychiatry, and Neurological Surgery departments
- The institute will be located on Fourth Street between Gene Friend Way and Campus Way
- A community meeting will be held in April

Construction to begin September 2017
Campus Updates: Parnassus
Clinical Sciences Building

• Abatement and soft demolition have been completed.
• UCSF is in the process of bringing in a new contractor for the next phase of the work.
• Construction is scheduled to resume in the spring.

Next Community Meeting: May 17, 2017
Medical Center Guardrail Project

Medical Center Guardrail Project: Removal and replacement of the vehicle guardrail and temporary barricades along Medical Center Way from the EH&S building to Johnstone Drive.

Project Scope: visible work began in February.

Impact: Occasional lane closures, with construction staff directing traffic.

Anticipated Completion: Fall 2017
Kirkham Child Care Center Project: Conversion of a multi-purpose room to a classroom.

Project Scope: Work begins this week. Installation of storage space and furniture delivery.

Impact: Work is interior; neighbors may see delivery and surplus supply trucks.

Anticipated Completion: April 3
Campus Updates:
UCSF Research Building at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital
On January 31, UCSF received unanimous approval by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Mayor Lee signed the ordinance on February 13 at ZSFG.
## UCSF Research and Academic Building

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Current Estimated Date</th>
<th>Final Outside Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCSF Capital Planning Approval</td>
<td>April 2017</td>
<td>April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCSF Programming Space</td>
<td>April 2017</td>
<td>April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCSF Procures Project Team</td>
<td>May 2017</td>
<td>May 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schematic Design Approvals</td>
<td>June to November 2017</td>
<td>November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close of Escrow</td>
<td>November 2017</td>
<td>November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Regents Approval of Construction Contract</td>
<td>January 2018</td>
<td>November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCSF Enters Into Construction Contract for Research Facility</td>
<td>February 2018</td>
<td>December 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCSF Starts Construction</td>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCSF Substantially Completes Research Facility and ZSFG Campus Improvements</td>
<td>February 2021</td>
<td>February 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current UCSF Staff into Research Facility Building</td>
<td>February 2022</td>
<td>February 2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Campus Updates: Workforce Development
Workforce Development Update

EXCEL Cycle 12

- 15 participants currently interning with 14 different host departments (2 participants currently on medical leave)
- Five new host departments
- Nine residents of Bayview/Hunter’s Point or Visitacion Valley
- Graduation date – June 1, 2017

EXCEL Cycles 1 - 11

- 177 total graduates
- 119 (67 percent) obtained full-time employment with UCSF or external employer.
  - 63 in career positions with UCSF
  - 29: in temp assignments with UCSF
  - 27: full-time employment with another employer
Workforce Development Update

Local Construction Hiring

• January 2017 – UCSF released a Request for Proposals to identify a new community-based partner to assist with coordinating UCSF’s Community Construction Outreach Program (CCOP)
  • Contract with Mission Hiring Hall began in 2011 and concluded in 2016
  • Two agencies submitted full proposals. UCSF is currently in the final stage of evaluating the proposals
  • The new partner will be responsible for responding to worker requests from subcontractors, vetting prospective workers to ensure SF residency and minimum qualifications, and referring names to the subcontractors for possible hire.
  • OEWD/Citybuild will be providing job placement services for the ZSFG project.
Workforce Development Update

Local Construction Hiring – 2017 Projects
30 percent local hire goal

- **Precision Cancer Medicine Building** – April 2017
  - General Contractor – Rudolph & Sletten

- **Block 33** – June 2017
  - General Contractor - Webcor

- **Minnesota Street Housing** – July 2017
  - General Contractor - Skanska

- **Block 23A** – September 2017
  - General Contractor - DPR
Public Comment

Items on the agenda – 3 minutes per speaker
Next Steps

Photo: Genentech Hall at UCSF Mission Bay Campus